- Fait, J. (1991). XSCANS User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Lindeman, S. V., Andrianov, V. G., Kravcheni, S. G., Potapov, V. M., Potekhin, K. A. & Struchkov, Yu. T. (1981). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 22, 123-124.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SHELXTLIPC. Version 5.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1710-1711

(-)-Sparteinium bromide

Alessandra Farina, Stefano Valdo Meille, Maria Teresa Messina, Pierangelo Metrangolo and Giuseppe Resnati

Dipartimento di Chimica, Politecnico di Milano, Via Mancinelli 7, 20131 Milano, Italy. E-mail: meille@dept. chem.polimi.it

(Received 22 December 1998; accepted 16 June 1999)

Abstract

The title compound, $(7S)-(7\alpha,7a\alpha,14\alpha,14a\beta)-1,3,4,-7,7a,8,9,10,11,13,14,14a-dodecahydro-7,14-methano-2H, 6H-dipyrido[1,2-a:1',2'-e][1,5] diazocin-5-ium bromide (C₁₅H₂₇N⁺₂·Br⁻), is an effective reagent for the resolution of chiral perhalocarbons. All four rings in the sparteinium ion adopt a chair conformation, allowing an intramolecular N—H···N hydrogen bond. Weak hydrogen-bond-like interactions between the cation and anion are apparent.$

Comment

(-)-Sparteine is one of the best known members of the lupin alkaloids (Merck, 1989). It has been isolated from various Lupinus and Cytisus species and is widely distributed in the Papilionacee (Hesse, 1981). From the pharmacological point of view, this alkaloid is endowed with oxytoxic activity, while from the chemical point of view it has been used effectively as a chiral catalyst and as a reagent in asymmetric synthesis (Hashihayata et al., 1997; Nishimura et al., 1997; Hoppe et al., 1990). (-)-Sparteine has a semi-rigid structure; in the free base, ring C adopts a boat conformation but, upon chelation of a cation, inversion of configuration occurs on N16 and ring C adopts a chair conformation. In the resulting nest-like structure, the nitrogen lone pairs converge towards the centre of the molecular concavity, allowing an effective bidentate interaction with metal ions (Lopez et al., 1998; Hoppe et al., 1995; Motevalli et al., 1993). Recently, we have resorted to the related ability to staple the proton, and indirectly the bromide ion, in (-)-sparteinium bromide, (I), to resolve 1,2-dibromohexafluoropropane into single enantiomers (Farina *et al.*, 1999). This procedure relies on the self-assembly of the sparteinium bromide unit with one of the enantiomers of the perhalocarbon into a chiral cocrystal. Since the procedure is general, the crystal structure of (I) is reported herein to show how the interactions of additional species with the Br⁻ ion influence the relation of the halide to the sparteinium ion.



Consistent with the results and the literature data discussed by Kubicki et al. (1996), all four rings in the sparteinium ion of (I) adopt chair conformations, with the N16 configuration inverted with respect to that of the free base. In this conformation, the distance between N1 and N16 is 2.714 (8) Å, allowing an intramolecular hydrogen-bond interaction between N16 and the proton on N1 (Table 2). The structural and conformational features of the sparteinium ion in (I) are very similar to those found in the sparteinium hydrobromideperhalocarbon cocrystal (Farina et al., 1999). A significant difference is that the protonated nitrogen is N1 in the pure hydrobromide and N16 in the cocrystal. Shorter Br N distances reasonably involve the protonated nitrogen, and accordingly the Br \cdots N1 and the Br \cdots N16 distances change from 3.552(6) and 3.672(6) in (I) to 3.622 (6) and 3.548 (6) Å in the cocrystal. Related minor differences involve the short quasi-hydrogen-bond interactions between cation H atoms and the bromide ion. Relevant parameters of these interactions for (I) are given in Table 2.



Fig. 1. A view of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 40% probability level, while H atoms are of an arbitrary size. Geometric parameters of the bromide ion interactions and of the hydrogen bond involving N16 are given in Table 2.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1999 We conclude by noticing that the location of the bromide ion would be compatible with the transformation to a phase-centred orthorhombic lattice. Space group $C222_1$ would be applicable, in principle, if the twofold rotor through C8, relating ring *B* of the sparteinium ion to ring *C*, applied also to rings *A* and *B*.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained by dissolving (-)-sparteine (Aldrich) and HBr in CHCl₃ in a 1:1 ratio and subsequently removing the solvent under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from CHCl₃/diisopropyl ether.

Crystal data

C₁₅H₂₇N⁺₂·Br⁻ $M_r = 315.30$ Monoclinic $P2_1$ a = 8.3779 (5) Å b = 10.7496 (8) Å c = 8.3805 (5) Å $\beta = 101.099 (6)^\circ$ $V = 740.62 (7) Å^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.414 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scan Absorption correction: by integration (*XPREP*; Siemens, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.524$, $T_{max} = 0.894$ 2649 measured reflections 1428 independent reflections (plus 910 Friedel-related reflections)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.079$ $wR(F^2) = 0.228$ S = 1.0992338 reflections 164 parameters H atoms riding $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1515P)^2 + 0.5774P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.45$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.69$ e Å⁻³ Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å Cell parameters from 30 reflections $\theta = 11.1-16.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.649$ mm⁻¹ T = 293 (2) K Plate $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.03$ mm Colourless

1889 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.052$ $\theta_{max} = 68^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 12$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 10$ 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: <0.5%

Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) Extinction coefficient: 0.0027 (15) Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C) Absolute structure: Flack (1983) Flack parameter = -0.02 (6)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

| N16-C17 | 1.481 (10) | C10—N1 | 1.480(11) |
|---------|------------|--------|------------|
| N16-C11 | 1.486 (11) | N1—C2 | 1.488 (9) |
| N16-C15 | 1.494 (10) | N1-C6 | 1.502 (10) |

| 114.7 (7) | C10-C9-C11 | 111.3 (7) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 111.3 (7) | C10-N1-C2 | 110.9 (7) |
| 110.8 (7) | C10-N1-C6 | 112.4 (6) |
| 113.6(7) | C2—N1—C6 | 112.2 (6) |
| 114.0 (6) | C7—C6—C5 | 113.2 (7) |
| 107.0 (6) | | |
| 55.0 (10) | C2-N1-C6-C5 | - 56.2 (9) |
| 67.4 (9) | C8—C7—C6—N1 | -57.1 (8) |
| -54.6 (9) | C17C7C6N1 | 65.0 (9) |
| | 114.7 (7) $111.3 (7)$ $110.8 (7)$ $113.6 (7)$ $114.0 (6)$ $107.0 (6)$ $55.0 (10)$ $67.4 (9)$ $-54.6 (9)$ | 114.7 (7) C10-C9-C11 111.3 (7) C10-N1-C2 110.8 (7) C10-N1-C6 113.6 (7) C2-N1-C6 114.0 (6) C7-C6-C5 107.0 (6) 55.0 (10) 55.0 (10) C2-N1-C6-C5 67.4 (9) C8-C7-C6-N1 -54.6 (9) C17-C7-C6-N1 |

Table 2. Bromide ion contact and hydrogen-bonding geometry (\mathring{A}, \circ)

| D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | HA | $D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D — $\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$ |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| N1—H1···Br1 | 2.92 | 3.552 (6) | 128 |
| C2—H2B···Br1 | 3.05 | 3.674 (7) | 121 |
| C15-H15B···Br1 | 3.06 | 3.778 (7) | 132 |
| N1—H1···N16 | 2.10 | 2.714 (8) | 124 |

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1994). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KA1317). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, O., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camolli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435. Farina, A., Meille, S. V., Messina, M. T., Metrangolo, P., Resnati, G. & Vecchio, G. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 2433-2436. Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881. Hashihayata, T., Ito, Y. & Katsuki, T. (1997). Tetrahedron, 53, 9541-9552. Hesse, M. (1981). Alkaloid Chemistry, p. 50. New York: John Wiley & Sons. Hoppe, D., Hintze, F. & Tebben, P. (1990). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 29, 1422-1424. Hoppe, I., Marsch, M., Harms, K., Boche, G. & Hoppe, D. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 2158-2160. Kubicki, M., Borowiak, T. & Boczoń, W. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 226-228. Lopez, S., Muravyov, I., Pulley, S. R. & Keller, S. W. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 355-357. Merck (1989). The Merck Index, 11th ed., edited by S. Budavari, p. 1378. New York: Merck & Co. Inc. Motevalli, M., O'Brien, P., Robinson, A. J., Walsh, J. R., Wyatt, P. B. & Jones, A. C. (1993). J. Organomet. Chem. 461, 5-7. Nishimura, K., Ono, M., Nagaoka, Y. & Tomioka, K. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 12974-12975.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTLIPC. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Version 2.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). XPREP. Version 5.05. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.